

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/667,981	09/22/2000	George A. Burnett	2909	1090	
75	90 04/06/2004		EXAMI	NER	
Joseph N Hosteny			LEE, BENJ	LEE, BENJAMIN C	
Niro Scavone Haller & Niro Suite 4600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
181 West Madison Street Chicago, IL 60602			2632 DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	, 14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>'</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/667,981	BURNETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin C. Lee	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply secified above, its less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable and acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable a	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. erted or b) \(\subseteq \text{ objected to by the } \)					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗆 Indonésia a	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2632

· ***

Response To Amendment

New Matter

1. The amendment filed 1/16/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Amendment to claims 1, 6 and 13 recite that the water-resistant barrier is applied to the housing such that "water entering the housing is prevented from entering the first cavity and affecting the piezoelectric transducer," which is not supported by the specification/disclosure that discloses only that the barrier is applied to the "front face", "grill", or "mating knurled nut" that are all exterior of housing/cavities (see figures and specification) in such a way that water would not be able to enter any portion of the housing without affecting the transducer, since water would first have to pass the front face, grill, or mating knurled nut.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Due to the new matter introduced in the claims as indicated above which requires cancellation, the following prior art consideration treats the claims without such new matter, i.e. without the amendment portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 1, 6-7, 9-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett (US pat. #5,675,312) in view of Leitten et al. (US pat. #5,420,570) as stand in the previous Office action.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnett in view of Leitten et al.

Art Unit: 2632

. — (%) x

1) In considering claim 14, Burnett and Leitten et al. made obvious all of the claimed subject matter as in claim 13, including:

--the claimed second cavity (relatively wider portion directly adjacent the piezoelectric transducer in Fig. 2 of Burnett) adjacent the first cavity (interpreted as the relatively narrower portion having the grill aperture and further away from the piezoelectric transducer in Fig. 2 of Burnett), wherein said second cavity further amplifies sounds emitted by the transducer (inherent by its structure and placement to the first cavity and to the transducer).

4. Claims 2-5, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnettin view of Leitten et al. and Lee (US pat. #5,707,757) as stand in the previous Office action.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - 1) The amendment introduced new matter that requires cancellation as indicated above.
- 2) Since Applicant did not provide any evidence to support the allegation that the references were improperly combined, while the previous Office action rejection clearly provided the modifications involved as well as their motivation, Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive in overcoming the previous Office action rejection.
- 3) Regarding Applicant's argument with respect to the amended claims, since the amended claims involve new matter requiring cancellation, Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive in overcoming the current Office action rejection.

Art Unit: 2632

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin C. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-4223. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon -Fri 11:00Am-7:30Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (703) 308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2632

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2632

B.L. 4/4/04